Notice: This decision may be formally revised before it is published in the *District of Columbia Register*. Parties should promptly notify the Office Manager of any formal errors so that this Office can correct them before publishing the decision. This notice is not intended to provide an opportunity for a substantive challenge to the decision.

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BEFORE

THE OFFICE OF EMPLOYEE APPEALS

In the Matter of:)
DESTINY WOOD, Employee)))
v.))
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA PUBLIC SCHOOLS,)
Agency)

OEA Matter No.: 1601-0151-11

Date of Issuance: May 31, 2013

Sommer J. Murphy, Esq. Administrative Judge

Destiny Wood, Employee, *Pro Se* Sara White, Esq., Agency Representative

INITIAL DECISION

INTRODUCTION AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On July 27, 2011, Destiny Wood ("Employee") filed a petition for appeal with the Office of Employee Appeals ("OEA") contesting the District of Columbia Public Schools' ("Agency" or "DCPS") action of terminating her employment based on an "Ineffective" rating under Agency's Effectiveness Assessment System for School-Based Personnel program ("IMPACT"). The effective date of Employee's termination was July 29, 2011.

I was assigned this matter in March of 2013. On April 8, 2013, I issued an Order convening a Prehearing Conference to be held at this Office on May 22, 2013 at 3:00 p.m. Counsel for Agency appeared for the conference; however, Employee did not. I subsequently issued an Order for Statement of Good Cause to Employee because she had failed to appear for the Prehearing Conference. Employee was required to submit a statement to establish good cause on or before May 30, 2013. Employee has failed to submit a statement of good cause as of the date of this Initial Decision. The record is now closed.

JURISDICTION

This Office has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to D.C. Official Code §1-606.03 (2001).

ISSUE

Whether Employee's appeal should be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

FINDINGS OF FACT, ANALYSIS, AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

OEA Rule 621.3 further provides that "if a party fails to take reasonable steps to prosecute or defend an appeal, the Administrative Judge, in the exercise of sound discretion, may dismiss the action or rule for the appellant." Failure of a party to prosecute an appeal includes, but is not limited to.

- (a) Appear at a scheduled proceeding after receiving notice;
- (b) Submit required documents after being provided with a deadline for such submission; or
- (c) Inform this Office of a change of address which results in correspondence being returned.

In this case, Employee was warned that the failure to appear could result in sanctions as enumerated in Rule 621.3. Because Employee failed to update her address of record in writing with this Office, the April 8, 2013 Order was returned to this Office by the US Postal Service and stamped as "Forward Time Exp Rtn to Sender." As such, she did not appear for the May 22, 2013 Prehearing Conference. Employee also failed to provide a Statement of Good Cause on or before May 30, 2013 to explain her failure to appear. Based on the foregoing, I find that Employee's lack of diligence in pursuing an appeal before OEA constitutes a failure to prosecute and serves grounds for the dismissal of this matter.

<u>ORDER</u>

It is hereby ORDERED that Employee's petition for appeal is DISMISSED for failure to prosecute.

FOR THE OFFICE:

SOMMER J. MURPHY, ESQ. ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE